Correlations Between Climate Network and Relief Data
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\textbf{Abstract.} In the last few years, the scientific community has witnessed an ongoing trend of using ideas developed in the study of complex networks to analyze climate dynamics. This powerful combination, usually called climate networks, can be used to uncover non-trivial patterns of weather changes along the years. Here we investigate the temperature network of North America region and show that two network characteristics, namely degree and clustering, have markedly differences between the Eastern and Western regions. We show that such differences are a reflection of the presence of a large network community in the western side of the continent. Moreover, we provide evidence that this large community is a consequence of the peculiar characteristics of the western relief of North America.

\section{1 Introduction}

Complex networks are powerful tools for describing the structure and functioning of a wide range of natural, technological and social systems (da Fontoura Costa et al., 2011). Owing to the general framework that the network theory provides, a mathematical representation of such systems is straightforward, allowing not just the description of networked topologies, but also leading to a better comprehension of dynamical processes in systems whose elements are connected in a non-trivial fashion (Boccaletti et al., 2006). In the past few years, complex networks have also been applied in climate sciences, creating this way the new field of climate networks (Tsonis et al., 2006, 2008; Tsonis and Swanson, 2008; Donges et al., 2009a,b; Gozolchiani et al., 2008; Tsonis and Roebber, 2004; Yamasaki et al., 2008). According to this paradigm, climate networks are formed by nodes, corresponding to spatial grid points in a given global climate data. These nodes are connected by edges, which correspond to statistical similarities between times series of given climate variables (e.g., temperature, relative humidity, precipitation) associated to each node in the network. Although this field is relative new in the network research, several results have been reported showing that network measurements can indeed give new important insights into climate dynamics (Tsonis et al., 2006, 2008; Tsonis and Swanson, 2008; Donges et al., 2009a,b; Gozolchiani et al., 2008; Tsonis and Roebber, 2004; Yamasaki et al., 2008; Rheinwalt et al., 2012; Mheen et al., 2013; Runge et al., 2014). For instance, by using degree centrality measurements of climate networks, researchers were capable of identifying highly connected nodes, which turned out to be related with the North Atlantic Oscillation. These results revealed that climate networks can exhibit small-world properties due to long-range edges (called teleconnections) connecting highly distant nodes (Tsonis et al., 2006, 2008). Moreover, the analysis of the teleconnections unveiled by this framework has also shed light on the study of extreme climate events, such as the El Niño-Southern Oscillations (ENSO) (Tsonis and Swanson, 2008; Gozolchiani et al., 2008). More specifically, by constructing climate networks of the surface temperature field during El Niño and La Niña periods, it was found that ENSO has a strong impact on the stability of climate systems, which is manifested as the decrease of the temperature predictability during El Niño years. It is worth noting that the application of concepts from complex network theory in climate sciences has brought new insights that could not be unveiled by using classical methods of climatology and statistics. Recently, by using cross-correlation and mutual information to construct climate networks and analyzing the betweenness centrality field (node centrality measurement based on shortest path lengths (Costa et al., 2007)), researches found wave-like structures that are related to surface ocean currents, detecting this way a backbone of significantly increased matter and energy flow in the global surface air temperature field (Donges et al., 2009a,b). Furthermore,
the authors also showed that these results cannot be achieved by using methods derived from multivariate analysis, such as principal component analysis (PCA) and singular spectrum analysis (SSA) (Donges et al., 2009a). In this work, we extend the analysis of climate networks investigating the influence of altitudes of the grid points on centrality measurements of the networks generated through similarities in temperature time series measured at the surface level. The main motivation for including the altitudes on the network model is the assumption that the flow of matter and energy can be affected by topographical barriers, leading to anomalies in the correlations between the time series of climate variables. Therefore, in order to uncover these phenomena and quantify the influence of the relief on the network correlations, for each node we associate its geographical altitude $h_i$, with centrality measurements of the climate network, such as betweenness and clustering coefficient.

We constructed climate networks allowing the existence of long-range connections. By detecting communities in the climate networks, we found clusters that correspond to groups of nodes embedded in geographical areas of similar relief properties. Moreover, it was also found that the correlation patterns between centrality measurements and relief properties vary according to the considered network community. Finally we point out a possible effect of time series interpolation generated by stations in the degree and clustering coefficient fields of the networks.

2 Materials and Methods

2.1 Dataset description

Throughout the analysis we used the following databases:

(i) Monthly land temperature records from the National Center for Environmental Prediction/National Center for Atmospheric Research NCEP/NCAR (Kistler et al., 2001; Fan and Van den Dool, 2008) obtained from January 1948 to January 2011. The dataset consists of a regular spatio-temporal grid with 0.5° of latitude and longitude resolution. Each grid point $i$ has a temperature time series $T_i(t)$ associated, containing the time evolution of the monthly mean temperature.

A visualization of stations employed in the analysis that originated the database is shown in Fig. 1 (data provided by the NOAA, 2013).

(ii) Relief dataset provided by National Geophysical Data Center (NGDC, 2009) and consisting of 1-arc minute regular gridded area measuring land topography and ocean bathymetry.

2.2 Complex networks measurements

In order to seek for relationships between the climate and relief, we use network measurements related to centrality and symmetry of connections. The most simple of them, referred to as node degree, is given by

$$k_i = \sum_{j=1}^{N} A_{ij},$$

where $A_{ij} = 1$ if nodes $i$ and $j$ are connected and $A_{ij} = 0$ otherwise. The degree is a simple way to study the local importance of a node. Concerning climate networks, the degree can be used to quantify how many points of the studied region display a time series similar to a given point in the globe. In other words, nodes with large degrees are related to large regions of correlation.

The clustering coefficient of a node is the probability that two of its neighbors are also connected in the network, and is given by (da Fontoura Costa et al., 2011)

$$c_i = \frac{2T(i)}{k_i(k_i - 1)},$$

where $T(i)$ is the number of triangles passing through $i$, or equivalently, the number of connections between neighbors of $i$. The clustering bears an interesting local information. If a given point of the globe is strongly correlated with two other points, the clustering quantifies how often these two points are also strongly correlated between themselves. The existence of regions taking low values of $c_i$ suggests that the propagation of climate changes occurs in a streamlined fashion in those regions. Conversely, large clustering is related to a more diffusive propagation.

Another feature we study is betweenness centrality of a node. To define this measurement, consider the following notation. Let $\sigma_{st}$ be the number of shortest paths from node $s$ to node $t$ (da Fontoura Costa et al., 2011). If $\sigma_{st}(i)$ is the number of such paths passing through node $i$, the betweenness centrality is given by (da Fontoura Costa et al., 2011)

$$b_i = \sum_{s \neq t \neq i} \frac{\sigma_{st}(i)}{\sigma_{st}}.$$

It gives information about global relationships in climate dynamics. It is of great importance in quantifying if a node is
commonly used as a route for long-range correlations in the network (Donges et al., 2009a).

A node can be central but still not communicate well with the rest of the network. For instance, a node that is connected to another with large degree can be regarded as being central in the network, but it has a strong dependence on its highly connected neighbor. The accessibility measurement quantifies the number of nodes effectively accessed after $h$ steps, where the node accessed in the next step is chosen randomly. Formally, the accessibility is computed as

$$a_i = \frac{1}{N_i^h} \exp \left( -\sum_j N_j^h \log P^h_{ij} \right) ,$$  \hfill (4)

where $P^h_{ij}$ is the probability that a random walk starting at node $i$ arrives at node $j$ in $h$ steps, $N_i^h$ the number of reachable nodes in $h$ steps from node $i$ and exp$(\cdot)$ is the exponential function (see, e.g., Viana et al., 2012 for a detailed explanation of this measurement).

Real-world networks often display a modular structure, i.e., the presence of communities (Fortunato, 2010). The modular structure of a given network can be quantified by the measurement known as modularity, which is given by (Newman, 2003)

$$Q = \frac{1}{2m} \sum_{ij} \left( A_{ij} - \frac{k_i k_j}{2m} \right) \delta(C_i, C_j) ,$$  \hfill (5)

where $m = 1/2 \sum A_{ij}$ is the total number of edges, $C_i$ is the community to which node $i$ belongs and $\delta$ is the Kronecker delta. Once the partitioning of the nodes into communities is done, the modularity $Q$ basically calculates the fraction of edges that connects nodes of the same community subtracting the fraction of these edges that we would expect to find in a random graph with the same degree sequence. Thus, eq. 5 provides a significance test of the obtained network partitioning, which will be used to validate our results in the next sections. Since the modularity $Q$ quantifies how good a given partition is, many methods intended to uncover communities in networks are based on the optimization of this measurement. Different strategies for the modularity optimization have been adopted in the literature such as simulated annealing (Reichardt and Bornholdt, 2006; Guimerà et al., 2004), greedy algorithms (Newman, 2004; Clauset et al., 2004) and extremal optimization (Duch and Arenas, 2005). Although these algorithms provide accurate results, most of them have a great computational cost. For this reason, we adopt the method proposed in (Newman, 2006) to obtain the community structure of climate networks. This method consists in mapping the modularity optimization in terms of the spectrum of the so-called modularity matrix $B$ defined as

$$B = A - \frac{kk^T}{2m} .$$  \hfill (6)

where $A$ is the adjacency matrix, $m$ is as defined before in eq. 5 and $k = \left[ k_1, ..., k_N \right]^T$ the vector whose element $k_i$ is the degree of the $i$-th node. The spectral optimization of the modularity $Q$ has complexity of order $O(N^2 \log N)$, which turns out to be faster than, for instance, simulated annealing and extremal optimization approaches, besides providing more accurate results for large networks (Newman, 2006; Fortunato, 2010).

### 2.3 Climate networks

Because we are most interested in the topological characteristics of climate networks and its correlations with relief heights, we consider now only the connected subgraph whose nodes are located inside a continent. Note that we do not simply extract the subgraph over land discarding any edges which connects nodes on the ocean, rather we recalculate the threshold $\epsilon$ by taking into account only the nodes in the spatio-temporal grid which are over land.

Having the values of temperatures for each grid point in the dataset, a simple way to infer that two points have similar dynamical evolution is through the Pearson correlation coefficient between pairs of time series, which is given by

$$\rho_{ij} = \frac{\langle T_i T_j \rangle - \langle T_i \rangle \langle T_j \rangle}{\sqrt{\langle T_i^2 \rangle - \langle T_i \rangle^2} \sqrt{\langle T_j^2 \rangle - \langle T_j \rangle^2}} ,$$  \hfill (7)

where $T_i$ is the time series associated to a point $i$ in the spatio-temporal grid and $\langle X \rangle$ means the average of the variable $X$. Furthermore, we also remove the mean annual cycle in order to avoid seasonal effects in the time series. In this section, we describe the approach employed in our analysis.

We start with a fully connected network where each grid point is a node and two nodes are connected through an edge with an associated weight given by $\rho_{ij}$. The fully connected network can be studied by using weighted versions of the characteristics presented in section 2.2 (cf. Boccaletti et al., 2006 for a description of weighted measurements for graphs). Nevertheless, we are only interested in connections representing strong correlations. Hence, connections having a correlation smaller than a given threshold $\epsilon$ are discarded. This leads to a network defined by the adjacency matrix $A$ whose elements are given by $A_{ij} = \Theta(\rho_{ij} - \epsilon) - \delta_{ij}$, where $\Theta(\cdot)$ is the Heaviside function. The threshold $\epsilon$ should be chosen in order to keep the network edges that correspond to strong correlation between time series, thus eliminating the non-relevant ones (Tsonis et al., 2006; Tsonis and Swanson, 2008; Tsonis et al., 2008; Gozolchiani et al., 2008; Donges et al., 2009a). Therefore, for all networks analysed in this approach, the threshold $\epsilon$ was chosen so that only 5% of the connections are kept in the network. Without the constraint of only first-neighbours connections, it is reasonable to expect a much richer pattern of connectivity with, e.g., presence of communities in the network, i.e., clusters of nodes that are more connected inside these groups than external nodes to
the cluster. In the context of climate networks, the grouping of nodes into communities was shown to be related to different climate patterns and to unveil different known climate zones (Tsonis et al., 2011).

3 Results

From reference (Fan and Van den Dool, 2008) we know that the land surface temperature database is constructed by interpolating recorded time series from stations spread over the globe. In order to avoid interpolation effects, it is useful to analyze the spatial distribution of the stations that generate this database. Using data from (NGDC, 2009), in Fig. 1 we show the stations location used to record the monthly average temperature time series. As we can see, except the northeast region of Brazil, South American is sparsely covered by stations, whereas North America and Europe are more densely covered. Therefore, in order to eliminate any doubts whether the observed patterns in the networks measurements are being affected by the interpolation or not, we turn our analysis to regions with high density of stations, namely, the North America region.

Applying the methodology described in Section 2.3, we obtain the climate networks and extract the centrality measurements for the region with the values of longitude $\theta$ and latitude $\phi$ ranging in the intervals $-128^\circ \leq \theta \leq -60^\circ$ and $30^\circ \leq \phi \leq 70^\circ$, respectively. Our results are shown in Fig. 2.

As we can see in Fig. 1, the region has stations approximately uniformly distributed. Therefore, we can discard the hypothesis that the area with high values for the degree in Fig. 2(a) is due to interpolation effects. It is also interesting to note that in Fig. 2(b) there are two distinct patterns in the clustering coefficient field. While the eastern region has an almost uniform distribution for $c_i$, the western region displays a more irregular distribution. The same pattern is also followed by the other centrality measurements. Figs. 3(a) and (b) shows the accessibility and betweenness centrality fields, respectively. Likewise, the patterns observed in the western and eastern regions differ significantly, especially for the accessibility. It is important to note that, according to Figs. 2(a) and 3(b), the regions taking low values of degree and accessibility overlap significantly. This pattern cannot be interpreted in a straightforward fashion, as the relevant correlation between degree and accessibility usually appears when the hierarchical definition of the degree is taken into account (Viana et al., 2012).

The topology of the climate network was further analyzed by identifying the natural topological communities. The communities arising from the application of the eigenvector strategy (see (Newman, 2006)) is shown in Fig. 4. A straightforward comparison of Figs. 2 and 4 reveals that the large community located at the western region corresponds to the nodes taking the lowest values of degree and accessibility

![Fig. 2](image-url) (a) Degree $k_i$; and (b) clustering coefficient $c_i$ obtained from the network of temperature correlations.

![Fig. 3](image-url) (a) Betweenness centrality $b_i$; and (b) accessibility $a_i$ for $h = 3$ steps obtained from the network of temperature correlations.
modeled as a climate network, displays two regions with dis-
mal, but most likely predictable, structures in the network.
derogeneities in the sampled data on the formation of abnor-
to be studied in the future is the specific effect of spatial het-
tions, which are then transformed into network connections
 disregarded during our investigation was the effect of the spatial
distribution of stations on the resulting network. We found
that data pertaining to the region in which \((-128^\circ, 30^\circ) \leq \theta \leq -60^\circ\)
and \(30^\circ \leq \phi \leq 70^\circ\) of the temperature database. Grid-points
colored with the same color correspond to nodes belonging to the same
network community.

Fig. 4. Community structure for the network constructed with the
grid points with \(\theta\) and latitude \(\phi\) in the intervals \(-128^\circ \leq \theta \leq -60^\circ\)
and \(30^\circ \leq \phi \leq 70^\circ\) of the temperature database. Grid-points colored
with the same color correspond to nodes belonging to the same
network community.

Fig. 5. Boundaries of the communities obtained from the climate networks. Note that the largest community coincides with a regular
relief profile.

4 Conclusions

Despite being a recent field, climate networks have already
been shown to provide valuable information about climate
dynamics (Tsonis et al., 2006, 2008; Tsonis and Swanson,
2008; Donges et al., 2009a,b; Gozolchiani et al., 2008;
Tsonis and Roebber, 2004; Yamasaki et al., 2008). In this
study, we used the monthly land temperature records from
NCEP/NCAR reanalysis to define correlations between sta-
tions, which are then transformed into network connections
when they exceed a specified threshold. One important point
raised during our investigation was the effect of the spatial
distribution of stations on the resulting network. We found
that data pertaining to the region in which \((-128^\circ, 30^\circ) \leq \theta \leq -60^\circ\)
and \(30^\circ \leq \phi \leq 70^\circ\) should not suffer such effects, given its
almost uniform distribution of stations. One important topic
to be studied in the future is the specific effect of spatial het-
erogeneities in the sampled data on the formation of abnor-
mal, but most likely predictable, structures in the network.

In this study, we showed that the North America, when
modeled as a climate network, displays two regions with dis-
tinct topological properties. We have found that the eastern
and western regions display striking differences of degree,
accessibility and clustering coefficient, which may be ex-
plained by the presence of communities arising from the cli-
mate network. More specifically, the eastern side was found
to be characterized by uniform values of centrality measure-
ments. Conversely, the western side was mainly character-
ized by an heterogeneous distribution of measurements val-
ues. The relationship between climate and relief was ana-
yzed in the relief dataset provided by NOAA jointly with the
climate network data. Interestingly, we uncovered dy-
namics not detected by other traditional methods. The most
important pattern arising from the analysis was the observa-
tion that the topological community of the climate network
in the western region matched the region with peculiar relief
structure, suggesting a strong influence of the relief on the
climatic dynamics.

Of paramount interest for future studies is to use other rel-
levant climate variables (e.g., humidity, wind, pressure) to un-
cover additional relationships between relief and climate, us-
ing the ideas developed in the climate networks field, as well
the boundary effects (Rheinwalt et al., 2012) of spatially em-
bedded networks.
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