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Dear authors,

Regarding your submitted paper I must say, in general considering its results, it could be a nice paper, but it needs to be improved in some cases: 1. Typing/spacing issues 2. Grammatical issues 3. You can improve the paper with many other, even newer references. 4. In some cases, the paper is prolonged by repeating obvious things. For example, about the amounts of the thresholds, some tables could be informative enough and no need to mention them. 5. Honestly to me, there was nothing new in this paper and the paper was totally like what Afzal et al have done but on a different case study. This is acceptable, but the readers may need at least a very tiny interesting, innovative or new thing in it. If you make something different, for example from a different point of view, it would make a big bonus for your paper.

So, I believe if you revolutionize the style of the paper or even add one more fractal model, like N-S fractal model, to improve and change the structure of the paper, it would be great.

So, considering all these points, my suggestion is: Major Revision. However, it is potentially acceptable after improvements.

Best,